Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MARLA MARIE DAVIS, Plaintiff, v. CACH, LLC, et al., Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-03892-BLF

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION; SETTING **BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE**

[Re: ECF 46]

On March 2, 2015, the Court granted Defendants' motion to compel arbitration. See ECF 45. Thereafter, on March 4, 2015, Plaintiff moved for leave to file a motion for reconsideration, contending that a provision in the purchase agreement between CACH and HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A., contractually waives CACH's right to compel arbitration. See ECF 46 at 2.

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-9, a party may seek leave of court to file a motion for reconsideration. Plaintiff brings her motion pursuant to Rule 7-9(b)(3), a "failure by the Court to consider material facts or dispositive legal arguments which were presented to the Court" prior to the issuance of the Order. Local Rule 7-9(d) states that "[u]nless otherwise ordered by the assigned Judge, no response need be filed [to the motion for leave] If the judge decides to order the filing of additional papers or that the matter warrants a hearing, the judge will fix an appropriate schedule."

The Court GRANTS Plaintiff leave to file a motion for reconsideration based on its contention that the purchase agreement provision could constitute a contractual waiver of

The purchase agreement provision which Plaintiff claims waives CACH's right to compel arbitration was presented in a supplemental declaration submitted with Defendants' Reply brief. See ECF 39-1.

Case 5:14-cv-03892-BLF Document 48 Filed 03/18/15 Page 2 of 2

	1	Defendant CACH's right to compel arbitration. The parties shall brief the motion for		
	2	reconsideration on the following schedule:		
	3	Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration:	April 1, 2015	
	4	Defendants' opposition:	April 15, 2015	
	5	Plaintiff's reply:	April 22, 2015	
	6	Hearing on the motion:	May 7, 2015^2	
Notureth District of Camornia	7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	8	Dated: March 18, 2015	ρ_{-1} , ρ_{-1}	
	9		John Jalem Meen	
	10		BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge	
	11			
	12			
	13			
	14			
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	1	1 1		

27

28

United States District Court Northern District of California

² Due to this briefing schedule, the Court hereby VACATES the hearing on the motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration, presently scheduled for April 16, 2015.